CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2010 Second Round September 22, 2010

Project Number CA-2010-235

Project Name 636 El Camino - Phase I

Site Address: 636 El Camino Real

South San Francisco, CA 94080 County: San Mateo

Census Tract: 6023.000

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total

 Requested:
 \$1,259,129
 \$4,197,098

 Recommended:
 \$1,259,129
 \$4,197,098

Applicant Information

Applicant: Mid-Peninsula Housing Coalition

Contact: Matthew O. Franklin

Address: 303 Vintage Park Drive, Suite 250

Foster City, CA 94404

Phone: 650-356-2903 Fax: (650) 357-9766

Email: mfranklin@midpen-housing.org

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): Mid-Peninsula Greenridge, Inc.

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Developer: Mid-Peninsula Housing Coalition

Investor/Consultant: CHPC

Management Agent: Mid-Peninsula Housing Management Corporation

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 62

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 61 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HOME / MHSA / CDBG Affordability Breakdown by % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 20 % 40% AMI: 35 % 50% AMI: 35 %

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: South and West Bay Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Benjamin Schwartz

Unit Mix

11 1-Bedroom Units

31 2-Bedroom Units

20 3-Bedroom Units

62 Total Units

Uni	t Type & Number	2010 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2010 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
7	1 Bedroom	30%	16%	\$331
1	1 Bedroom	40%	40%	\$806
3	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$1,008
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	19%	\$449
15	2 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$968
12	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,210
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$838
8	3 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$1,117
8	3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,397
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$28,497,622 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$114 Estimated Commercial Project Cost \$1,537,843 Per Unit Cost: \$459,639

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

Construction Fina	ancing	Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
US Bank Construction Loan	\$14,474,532	US Bank - NOI Tranche	\$2,103,400	
City of South San Francisco RDA	\$6,931,934	US Bank - Sect 8 Tranche	\$2,781,513	
County of San Mateo	\$1,489,502	City of South San Francisco	\$6,931,934	
Housing Endowment & Regional Trus	\$600,000	County of San Mateo	\$1,489,502	
Mental Health Services Act	\$1,081,600	Housing Endowment and Regional Trus	st \$600,000	
Fee Waiver - South San Francisco	\$114,762	Mental Health Services Act	\$1,081,600	
Accrued/Deferred Soft Loan Interest	\$309,116	Childcare Fee Waiver	\$114,762	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$600,000	Accrued/Deferred Soft Loan Interest	\$309,116	
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,783,194	Deferred Developer Fee	\$600,000	
		Tax Credit Equity	\$12,485,795	
		TOTAL	\$28,497,622	

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$13,990,327
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$13,990,327
Applicable Rate:	9.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,259,129
Total State Credit:	\$4,197,098
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost (Residential):	\$1,888,137
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost (Commercial):	\$111,863
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$1,355,912
Investor/Consultant:	CHPC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.80000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.57486

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis \$13,990,327 Actual Eligible Basis: \$22,104,561 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$13,669,552 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limi \$15,993,376

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Parking Beneath Residential Units 95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family Second: 93.676%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.00% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.40%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$1,259,129 State Tax Credits/Total \$4,197,098

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness 150-Day Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None

D : 4 G 4	Max. Possible	Requested Points 20 20 9 6 3 10 15 7 3 3 0 3 2 10 5 5 8 4 2 1 1 52 50 2 20 2 146	Points
Points System	Points		Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within ¼ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ¼ mile of public library	3	3	0
Within ½ mile of public library	2	0	2
Within ½ mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf	3	3	3
Large Family proj. w/i ¼ mile of public school project children may attend	3	3	0
Within ¼ mile of a pharmacy	2	2	0
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Adult educational classes, minimum instruction of 60 hours/year	5	5	5
After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
New construction/adaptive reuse energy efficiency 10% above Title 24	4	4	4
Minimum 1 High Efficiency Toilet (1.3 gpf) or dual flush	2	2	2
CRI Green-label, low-VOC carpet and pad	1	1	1
Recycled materials incorp. into concrete, carpet, road base, landscape	1	1	1
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	146	146	146

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.